

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Spencer Proffit

10280 SE 6th St Apt 5 , Bellevue, Washington 98004

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

August 02, 2005 01:13 PM
AUG 22 2005

FCC - MAIL ROOM

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

I am an infrequent user of a mobile phone and a monthly tax would unfairly put a disproportionate burden on users like myself.

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.


cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Spencer Proffit

cc:

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E



DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Joseph Falcone

354 Eagle Rd. , Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087

August 17, 2005 07:08 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

We have heard about the flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal, and believe it is unfair. I urge you to OPPOSE this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.


I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American!!!

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Please do what's right for the people !
Thank you.
Joseph Falcone 354 Eagle Rd.
Wayne PA 19087

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,


Joseph Falcone


cc:

Senator Arlen Specter
Senator Rick Santorum
Representative Curt Weldon

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

0

DOCKET FILE COPY SENT



Cheryl Morgan

1330 Parker Rd , Holly, Michigan 48442-8638

August 11, 2005 07:29 PM

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear The Federal Communications Commission:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Cheryl Morgan
Cheryl Morgan

cc:
Senator Carl Levin
Representative Mike Rogers
Senator Debbie Stabenow

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 22 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

POCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

leslie smith

215 walnut , pleasant hill, Missouri 64080

August 09, 2005 10:08 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

leslie smith

cc:


Senator Christopher Bond
Senator Jim Talent
Representative Ike Skelton
FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGIN

Betty Haley

214 E Laurel Av , Rogers, Arkansas 72758



August 09, 2005 11:38 AM

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:

The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.

Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,

Betty Haley

Betty Haley
cc:

Senator Blanche Lincoln
Representative John Boozman
Senator Mark Pryor
FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List A B C D E

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

AUG 22 2005

FCC - MAILROOM

Joann Barr

845 Agnew Road , Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15227

July 29, 2005 11:08 PM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Ms. Marlene Dortch
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Ms. Dortch:


The flat-fee Universal Service Fund proposal is unfair. I urge you to oppose this plan. I am one of the millions of consumers that will be unfairly taxed at a higher rate under the flat fee plan. The flat-fee would mean a tax hike for people like me -- consumers that use prepaid cellular phones or make few long distance calls.

I support the Keep USF Fair Coalition, and monitor this issue on their website. Stopping the flat fee tax is important to my family - not to mention my pocket book. You will hear from me again, until this issue is resolved fairly! The flat-fee is unfair, and un-American.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as high-volume residential or business customers. I urge you to reject this flat-fee proposal.
Thank you.

cc: FCC Chair Kevin Martin, Congress

Sincerely,


Joann Barr

cc:

Senator Arlen Specter
Senator Rick Santorum
Representative Mike Doyle
FCC Chairman Kevin Martin

No. of Copies rec'd _____
List A B C D E _____